## Air Pollution and Migration – exploiting a natural experiment from the Czech Republic

## Štěpán Mikula<sup>1</sup> Mariola Pytliková<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Masaryk University, Czech Republic; CERGE-EI Charles University in Prague, Czech Republic; contact: stepan.mikula@econ.muni.cz

<sup>2</sup>CERGE-EI Charles University in Prague, Czech Republic; contact: mariola.pytlikova@cerge-ei.cz

## EALE 2020





Spatial distribution of Sulfur dioxide concentrations (1994)



Sulfur dioxide concentration in 1994 (µg/m3)



Anti-depopulation policies (1980-1992):

- Eligibility for (substantial) pro-immigration benefits determined by individual characteristics.
- Eligibility for (rather small) anti-emigration benefits determined by municipality.

Desulfurization (1993-1999)

- Sulfur dioxide concentrations decreased due to adoption of modern (filtering) technologies.
- Desulfurization did not per se affect economic activity in the region.
- Therefore, desulfurization created a natural experiment we exploit to identify the effect of air pollution on residential migration.

Data/Sample:

- Yearly migration rates for 301 municipalities from Northern Bohemia
- Pre-desulfurization period (1983–1989), Post-desulfurization period (2000–2015)
- Pre-desulfurization SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in levels (30, 40, 50, and 60  $\mu g/m^3$ ) as of 1994.

We identify the impact of desulfurization (air pollution reduction) using DiD framework:

$$m_{i,t} = \sum_{j} \gamma_j SO_i p + \sum_k \beta_k l + \theta_i + \theta_p + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$
(1)

m...migration rate, i...municipality, t...year, SO... pre-desulfurization pollution level, p...indicator variable for post-desulfurization period, t...linear trend for each of three sub-regions and period.

	Dependent variable		
	Emigration rate (%) (1)	Immigration rate (%) (2)	Net immig. rate (%) (3)
Pre-desulf. $SO_2 = 40 \mu g/m^3$	-0.879**	-0.533	0.346
× Post-desulfurization period	(0.397)	(0.378)	(0.562)
Pre-desulf. $SO_2 = 50 \mu g/m^3$	-1.700***	1.061**	2.760***
× Post-desulfurization period	(0.419)	(0.484)	(0.729)
Pre-desulf. $SO_2 = 60 \mu g/m^3$	-1.588***	0.171	1.760**
× Post-desulfurization period	(0.469)	(0.567)	(0.856)
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.339	0.142	0.140
Observations	6,229	6,229	6,229

Notes: Table reports coefficients  $\gamma$  from Equation (1). Robust standard errors clustered by municipality are reported in parentheses: \*, \*\* and \*\*\* denote statistical significance at 10%, 5% and 1%. The reference category for sulfur dioxide concentration is 30  $\mu \mu/m^3$ . Results

Decrease in pollution:

- Substantially decreased emigration by 17–27%.
- Had no or positive effect on immigration.
- Triggered re-population of the region.

Effects tend to be non-linear in sulfur dioxide concentrations.

Air pollution reduction vs. abolition of anti-depopulation policies:

- Effect on emigration does not differ between municipalities formerly eligible and not eligible for benefits.
- Zero results on immigration suggest that pro-immigration policies could compensate the dis-utility from pollution in pre-desulfurization period.

We utilize the variability in social capital and man-made amenities endowments orthogonal to pollution concentrations to study their impact on migratory responses to air pollution, which tend to be larger in municipalities:

- Iower in social capital, and
- less equipped with man-made amenities.

These results suggest, that social capital and man-made amenities can compensate for dis-utility from air pollution.

Mikula & Pytliková (CERGE-EI, MUNI)